

1 STATE OF NEVADA
2 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT
3 RELATIONS BOARD
4

5 DOUGLAS WAYNE SLAG and
6 HERMOGENA CANETE SLAG,

7 Complainant,

8 vs.

9 CLARK COUNTY EDUCATION
10 ASSOCIATION and CLARK COUNTY
11 SCHOOL DISTRICT,

12 Respondents.

ITEM NO. 503

CASE NO. A1-045714

ORDER

12 For Complainant: Frank J. Cremen, Esq.
13 Kristian M. Dahl, Esq.
14 National Right to Work Legal Defense
15 For Respondent CCEA: Sandra G. Lawrence, Esq.
16 Dyer, Lawrence, Cooney & Penrose
17 For Respondent CCSD: L. Steven Demaree, Esq.
18 Clark County School District

19 On July 6, 2001, Complainants DOUGLAS WAYNE SLAG AND HERMOGENA
20 CANETE SLAG (hereafter "Complainants") filed a verified complaint with the LOCAL
21 GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD (hereafter "Board").

22 On July 31, 2001, the CLARK COUNTY EDUCATION ASSOCIATION (hereafter
23 "Association") filed a motion to strike complaint and requested a default and sanctions against
24 Complainants. An opposition was filed on August 6, 2001 by Complainants and the Association
25 filed reply points and authorities on August 20, 2001.

26 On August 6, 2001, attorney Kristian Mark Dahl filed an application for admission pro
27 hac vice, nunc pro tunc, and for association of counsel.

28 ///

///

1 On September 17, 2001, the CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT (hereafter
2 "District") filed a motion to dismiss. The Complainants filed their opposition on September 27,
3 2001.

4 The Association filed a motion to stay a ruling on the District's motion until its motion to
5 strike is decided and filed its "response in support of" the District's motion to dismiss.

6 On October 23, 2001, the District filed its reply points and authorities in support of its
7 motion to dismiss.

8 This proceeding was noticed pursuant to Nevada's Open Meeting Law for November 15,
9 2001. The Board having reviewed the documents and pleadings on file herein, and orders as
10 follows:

11 The Association's motion to strike is hereby denied.

12 The Association's motion for default and for sanctions is likewise denied.

13 Concerning the application for pro hac vice and for permission to practice in this matter,
14 pursuant to NAC 288.278(1), this Board hereby waives the requirement that Kristian Mark Dahl
15 be an attorney licensed in Nevada prior to appearing for Complainants herein and may continue
16 to represent these parties before the Board in this matter.

17 The District's motion to dismiss is denied at this early stage of the proceedings with the
18 Board retaining its right to review this issue, sua sponte, once all answers, pre-hearing
19 statements, and hearing exhibits have been filed with the Board.


20 Based on this Board's ruling on the District's motion to dismiss, the Association's motion
21 to stay is denied as being moot.


22 ///
23 ///
24 ///
25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///


1 The Board notes for the record Complainants' professionalism referenced in footnote 4
2 on p. 6 of their opposition by refusing to "play the same [frivolous] game as the union." Neither
3 attorney's fees for Complainants nor sanctions against the counsel for the Association are
4 awarded at this time; however, the Board reserves the right to revisit this issue later in these
5 proceedings.

6 DATED this 16th day of November, 2001.

7 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-
8 MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD

9
10 BY: 
11 JOHN E. DICKS, ESQ., Chairman

12 BY: 
13 JAMES E. WILKERSON, SR., Vice-Chairman

14 BY: 
15 JANET TROST, ESQ., Member
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28