

1 STATE OF NEVADA  
2 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT  
3 RELATIONS BOARD  
4

5 DARLENE ROSENBERG, )  
6 Complainant, ) ITEM NO. 707C  
7 vs. ) CASE NO. A1-045951  
8 THE CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS, a )  
9 municipality ) Respondents. ) **ORDER**  
10

11 For Complainant: Darlene Rosenberg and her attorney, Adam Levine, Esq.  
12 For Respondents: City of North Las Vegas and their attorney Robert W. Freeman, Jr., Esq.  
13 of Freeman & Mondragon.

14 This matter came on before the State of Nevada, Local Government Employee-  
15 Management Relations Board ("Board") on April 10, 2012 for consideration and decision  
16 pursuant to the provisions of the Local Government Employee-Management Relations Act ("the  
17 Act"); NAC Chapter 288, NRS chapter 233B, and was properly noticed pursuant to Nevada's  
18 open meeting laws.

19 On February 14, 2012 this Board issued an order directing any party who desired to  
20 proceed in this matter to show why this matter should be dismissed under the limited-deferral  
21 doctrine required by City of Reno v. Reno Police Protective Ass'n, 118 Nev. 889, 59 P.3d 1212  
22 (2002). The Board allotted 30 days for any party to file a points and authorities showing why the  
23 Board should not defer to the arbitration decision and dismiss the prohibited labor practice  
24 complaint. No party submitted any response to the Board's request.

25 ///  
26 ///  
27 ///  
28 ///





