

1 STATE OF NEVADA  
2 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT  
3 RELATIONS BOARD  
4

5 JANET KALLSEN, )

6 Complainant, )

7 vs. )

8 CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, )

9 Respondent, )

10 \_\_\_\_\_ )  
11 CLARK COUNTY CLASSROOM TEACHERS )  
ASSOCIATION, )

12 Intervenor. )

ITEM NO. 393-B

CASE NO. A1-045598

DECISION

13 For Complainant: Leslie Mark Stovall, Esq.

14 For Respondent: L. Steven Demaree, Esq.  
15 CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

16 For Intervenor: Sandra G. Lawrence, Esq.  
17 DYER, LAWRENCE & COONEY

18 STATEMENT OF CASE

19 On January 18, 1996, a Complaint was filed on behalf of Janet Kallsen (hereinafter Kallsen)  
20 alleging that Clark County School District (hereinafter District) committed prohibited practices by  
21 failing to return her to a position for the 1995-1996 school year; and by the District's refusal to  
22 arbitrate her September 19, 1995 grievance. The Complaint seeks a finding that (1) the District  
23 engaged in unlawful and prohibited practices under NRS 288.140(2) and NRS 288.270(1)(a); (2) the  
24 District be ordered to reinstate Kallsen to her position as a teacher in the District; (3) the District be  
25 ordered to pay Kallsen her wages and benefits accruing from September 1, 1995 to the present; (4)  
26 Kallsen be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs for having to bring this matter to the Board;  
27 and (5) extraordinary relief in the form of notice to a District employee of their individual right to  
28 request arbitration and to retain legal counsel. The relief requested in Item No. 2 was made moot by

1 the return of Kallsen to a full-time teaching position in the 1996-1997 school year. The District filed  
2 its answer on February 7, 1996, with its affirmative defenses being that the Board lacks jurisdiction  
3 because Kallsen is not a local government employee as her employment was effectively terminated  
4 at the time she was granted a leave of absence; and that the Complaint fails to state a claim against  
5 the District upon which relief can be granted.

6 A petition to intervene was filed on behalf of Clark County Classroom Teachers Association  
7 (hereinafter CCCTA) on August 19, 1996 and granted by the Board on September 3, 1996. See Item  
8 No. 393.

9 A hearing was conducted on January 12, 1998, noticed in accordance with Nevada's Open  
10 Meeting Law, at which the Board heard oral argument from counsel and testimony from three  
11 witnesses; Mary Ann Craner, Janet Kallsen and Dr. Edward Goldman. The Board's findings as to the  
12 causes of action remaining for the Board's adjudication are set forth in its Discussion, Findings of  
13 Fact and Conclusions of Law, which follows:

#### 14 DISCUSSION

15 The Complaint filed by Kallsen challenges the District's (1) failure to assign her to a position  
16 for the 1995-96 school year; and (2) refusal to arbitrate her September 18, 1995 grievance. The  
17 burden is on Kallsen to prove that the District violated a provision of NRS Chapter 288 by its actions.

18 At the hearing on December 17, 1997, Kallsen failed to prove that the District interfered,  
19 restrained or coerced her in the exercise of any right guaranteed under NRS Chapter 288 by its failure  
20 to assign her to a position for the 1995-96 school year. However, Kallsen did show that the District's  
21 refusal to arbitrate her grievance interfered with a right guaranteed to her by NRS 288.140(1).

#### 22 FINDINGS OF FACT

23 1. During the 1993-94 school year, Kallsen was employed by the District. She was and  
24 is a member of the CCCTA.

25 2. In August 1994, Kallsen applied for a one-year leave of absence. The District granted  
26 Kallsen's request and placed her on leave from August 1, 1994 to August 1, 1995.

27 3. On March 17, 1995, Kallsen informed the District that she planned to return to active  
28 service for the 1995-96 school year.



1 failing to assign Kallsen to a full-time position for the 1995-96 school year.

2 6. NRS Chapter 288 does not grant a local government employee an individual right to  
3 arbitrate. While NRS 288.140(2), which was modeled after 29 U.S.C. § 159(a), provides that an  
4 employee may act for himself with respect to the adjustment of a grievance, it does not apply to the  
5 arbitration of a grievance. See Black-Clawson Co., Inc. v. International Ass'n of Machinists Lodge  
6 355, 313 F.2d 179, 184-86(2d Cir. 1962).

7 7. However, in this matter, the CCCTA made the request for arbitration. The District  
8 then refused to arbitrate based on the terms of the agreement between the CCCTA and Kallsen  
9 regarding the arbitration. The District's action interfered with Kallsen's relationship with the CCCTA  
10 because it sought to dictate how a grievant and her union should proceed with an arbitration.

11 8. The District has contractual protection to require the CCCTA to pay its share of any  
12 costs involved in an arbitration if the grievant failed to pay them. Further, the District could have  
13 filed a grievance against the CCCTA after receipt of the October 30, 1995 arbitration demand based  
14 on the anticipatory breach doctrine. However, the District cannot use the CCCTA's alleged  
15 anticipated breach, which is a contractual matter, to interfere with the statutory rights guaranteed to  
16 Kallsen under NRS Chapter 288.

17 9. The District may not refuse to arbitrate a grievance based on the relationship or  
18 agreement between an employee organization and a local government employee represented by that  
19 organization.

20 10. The District did violate NRS 288.270(1) when its based its refusal to arbitrate  
21 Kallsen's grievance on the agreement between the CCCTA and Kallsen.

22 11. The Local Government Employee-Management Relations Board makes no findings  
23 as to the parties' contractual obligations.

24 12. The Local Government Employee-Management Relations Board does not have the  
25 authority to issue an order compelling arbitration. NRS 288.110(2); see also NRS 38.045(1).

#### 26 DECISION AND ORDER

27 IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the District did not commit a  
28 prohibited practice by failing to offer Kallsen a full-time teaching position for the 1995-96 school year.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the District did commit  
2 a prohibited practice as defined by NRS 288.270(1) when it based its refusal to arbitrate Kallsen's  
3 grievance on the agreement between the CCCTA and Kallsen. The District is hereby ordered to cease  
4 and desist from refusing to arbitrate a grievance based on the relationship or agreement between the  
5 CCCTA and a local government employee represented by the CCCTA.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees.

7 DATED this 12 of February 1998.

8 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-  
9 MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD

10 By David Goldwater  
11 DAVID GOLDWATER, Chairman

12 By Karen L. McKay  
13 KAREN L. MCKAY, Vice-Chairperson

14 By James E. Wilkerson, Sr.  
15 JAMES E. WILKERSON, SR., Member