

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

STATE OF NEVADA
LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT
RELATIONS BOARD

RENO POLICE PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION,
Complainant,
vs.
RENO POLICE DEPARTMENT and
CITY OF RENO,
Respondents.

ITEM NO. 459
CASE NO. A1-045664
ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR DEFERRAL
OF PROCEEDINGS

For Complainant: Michael Cleveland, President
Reno Police Protective Association
For Respondents: Donald P. Christensen, Esq.
Reno City Attorney's Office

On or about September 27, 1999, a complaint was filed by Complainant RENO POLICE PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION (hereafter "Association") in the above-entitled and numbered matter, through its President, Michael Cleveland, alleging prohibited practices against the CITY OF RENO (hereafter "City") and the RENO POLICE DEPARTMENT (hereafter "Police Department"). Respondents, City and Police Department, filed their Answer on or about November 22, 1999.

Respondents, City and Police Department, filed the instant Motion for Deferral of Proceedings on December 9, 1999, which was opposed by the Complainant on or about December 17, 1999. Respondents, City and Police Department, did not file a reply to the opposition.

On or about September 17, 1999, Complainant filed its Pre-hearing Statement. A Pre-Hearing Statement was not filed by Respondents, City and Police Department.

The Motion for Deferral of Proceedings was scheduled for deliberations pursuant to the Nevada Open Meeting laws, and such deliberations were conducted by the Board on January 11, 2000.

Having reviewed the documents filed to date concerning the issue of deferral, and conducted deliberations thereon, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be, and the same is, HEREBY GRANTED, with the parties to exhaust their remedies under the contractual dispute resolution

1 system contained within their collective bargaining agreement. Within thirty (30) days after the
2 completion of their contractual dispute resolution remedies, the parties are to report the outcome of
3 the same to this Board and, should there be any change of circumstances, either party hereto may re-
4 petition this Board. Within 20 days after providing notification to the Board of the outcome of the
5 contractual dispute resolution remedies, if the matter is not resolved, the parties pre-hearing
6 statements will be due.

7 DATED this 14th day of January 2000.

8 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-
9 MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD

10 By David Goldwater
11 DAVID GOLDWATER, Chairman

12 By Karen L. McKay
13 KAREN L. MCKAY, Vice-Chairperson

14 By James E. Wilkerson, Sr.
15 JAMES E. WILKERSON, SR., Member
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28