STATE OF NEVADA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT

RELATIONS BOARD

3

1

2

4

5

6 7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

Complainant,

STEVEN B. KILGORE,

For Complainant:

For Respondent:

ITEM NO. 550

ORDER

CASE NO. A1-045763

CITY OF HENDERSON and HENDERSON POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Respondent.

Richard I. Dreitzer, Esq.

Law Offices of Richard I. Dreitzer, Chtd.

David A. Hintzman, Esq. City of Henderson

On May 5, 2003, Complainant STEVEN B. KILGORE (hereinafter "Kilgore") filed a complaint with the LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD (hereinafter "Board").

On May 29, 2003, Respondent CITY OF HENDERSON and HENDERSON POLICE DEPARTMENT (hereinafter "City") filed a motion to dismiss the complaint and filed a supplemental exhibit on June 5, 2003. On June 12, 2003, Kilgore filed an opposition.

The Board deliberated on said motion on July 16, 2003, noticed in accordance with Nevada's Open Meeting Law. Based upon the deliberations,

In the absence of a showing of special circumstances or prejudice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

Concerning the first cause pertaining to discipline, the motion to dismiss the Police Department is denied.

Concerning the first cause pertaining to discipline, the motion for deferral based on the failure to exhaust administrative remedies is granted and, therefore, the Board need not rule on

the statute of limitations issue at this time. The parties are to report the status of this matter to the Board within thirty (30) days after the arbitrator's decision.

Concerning the second cause pertaining to the Code of Conduct, the motion for deferral based on the failure to exhaust contractual remedies is granted and, therefore, the Board need not rule on the statute of limitations issue. The parties are to report the status of this matter to the Board within thirty (30) days after the arbitrator's decision

Concerning the second cause pertaining to the Code of Conduct, the motion to dismiss is denied as the Board finds the "Code of Conduct" is significantly related to the mandatory subjects of bargaining found in NRS 288.150.

DATED this 16th day of July, 2003.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD

BY:

DICKS ESO/Chairman

JANET TROST, ESQ. Vice-Chairman

TAMARA E. BARENGO, Member