	FILED			
	JUL 1 1 2019			
1	STATE OF NEVADA			
2	STATE OF NEVADA E.M.R.B.			
3	GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT			
4	RELATIONS BOARD			
5	TEAMSTERS LOCAL NO. 14, Case No. 2018-031			
7				
8	Complainant, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER			
° 9				
10	LAS VEGAS POLICE PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES,			
11	INC.,			
12	Respondent.			
13	TO: Complainants and their attorneys, Adam Levine, Esq. and the Law Office of Daniel Marks;			
14	TO: Respondent and their attorneys, John Dean Harper, Esq. and the Harper Law Office.			
15	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an ORDER was entered in the above-entitled matter on July 11, 2019.			
16				
17	A copy of said order is attached hereto.			
18	DATED this 11th day of July, 2019.			
19	LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-			
20	MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD			
21 22	BY ALC			
23	MÁRISU ROMUALDEZ ABELLAR			
24	Executive Assistant			
25				
26				
27				
28				

	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING		
1	I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Local Government Employee-Management		
2	Relations Board, and that on the 11th day of July, 2019, I served a copy of the foregoing		
3	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER by mailing a copy thereof, postage prepaid to:		
5	Adam Levine, Esq.		
6	Law Office of Daniel Marks 530 South Las Vegas Blvd., Suite 300		
7	Las Vegas, NV 89101		
8	John Dean Harper, Esq.		
9	Harper Law Office 8440 W. Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 214		
10	Las Vegas, NV 89128		
11	N 18		
12			
13	MARISU ROMUALDEZ ABELLAR Executive Assistant		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
24			
26			
27			
28			

	FILED			
1	JUL 1 1 2019			
2	STATE OF NEVADA			
3	E.M.R.B. STATE OF NEVADA			
4	GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT			
5	RELATIONS BOARD			
6				
7	TEAMSTERS LOCAL 14,	Case No. 2018-031		
8	Petitioner,	ORDER		
9	v.	En Banc		
10	LAS VEGAS POLICE PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES,	ITEM NO. 839-A		
11	INC.,	<u>11 EM 110. 037-A</u>		
12	Respondent.			
13	On July 1 and 3, 2019, this matter came before the State of Nevada, Government Employee-			
14	Management Relations Board ("Board") for consideration and decision pursuant to the provisions of the			
15	Government-Management Relations Act (the "Act"); NAC Chapter 288 and NRS Chapter 233B.			
16	The Board previously ordered this matter be bifurcated with the hearing occurring first on			
17	whether the bargaining unit improperly includes statutory supervisory employees. ¹ Thereafter, the			
18	Board would determine if it will hold a hearing to determine whether any employee organization is			
19	supported by a majority of the local government employees in the subject bargaining unit, and if so hold			
20	a representative election. The hearing on the first part of this matter (on the statutory supervisor issue)			
21	was unable to be completed and as such the parties stipulated, and the Board approves, as follows:			
22	111			
23	111			
24	¹ Local 14 alleges that the PPACE bargaining u	nit encompasses both supervisory and nonsupervisory		
25	civilian employees under their direction in violation of NRS 288.170(1). Local 14 alleges that after			
26	removal of the supervisors from the bargaining unit, a majority of employees within a properly constituted civilian nonsupervisory employee bargaining unit would support Local 14. As such, Local			
27	14 requests the Board to separate the alleged illegal bargaining unit and hold a hearing to determine whether it has a good faith doubt as to whether any employee organization is supported by a majority of			

-1-

the non-supervisory civilian employees, and if so, hold a representative election pursuant to NRS

28

288.160.

(1) The first part of the bifurcated hearing shall resume on September 4, 2019, and continue
September 5, 2019, if necessary. The Commissioner shall issue a notice of hearing, if not already done
so.

(2) Local 14 will produce authorizations cards on the first day of the continued hearing,
September 4, 2019.²

(3) PPACE argued only those authorization cards signed until the filing of Local 14's Petition (filed on November 29, 2019) are valid in the Board's consideration of whether it has a good faith doubt to conduct an election, while Local 14 argued that any authorization cards obtained until the date of production listed above (*i.e.* September 4, 2019) are valid. As such, the parties agreed to submit briefing on this issue with briefs due on the first day of the continued hearing, September 4, 2019.

12 ///

111

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 13 ////
- 14 1///
- 15 ///
- 16 ///
- 17 1///
- 18 ////

19 ////

20

² Due to the necessity of continuing the hearing, in the interests to expedite the matter, in benefit to the 21 bargaining unit employees, and in administrative economy, the Board was inclined to hold the hearing regarding good faith doubt directly after the conclusion of the first part of the bifurcated case. However, 22 PPACE indicated that it would not be able to adequately prepare given that the cards would be produced 23 on the first day of the continued hearing. As such, the parties agreed, and the Board approves, to the compromise of Local 14 producing said cards on the first day of the continued hearing. Thereafter, the 24 Board will review and analyze the cards in full and determine whether to hold a hearing to determine if the Board in good faith doubts whether any employee organization is supported by a majority of the 25 local government employees in a particular bargaining unit, pursuant to NRS 288.160, and whether to 26 conduct an election. The Board notes that it appears the statutory supervisor issue may ultimately be irrelevant to this case - if Local 14 cannot obtain a majority of the local government employees in the 27 subject bargaining unit (even with excluding the alleged statutory supervisors from the total), then this case should be dismissed as the statutory supervisor issue would not be necessary to the Board's 28 determination.

1	Either party may file an objection to this Order within 10 days of the date of this Order if they		
2	believe it does not accurately reflect the outcome of the July 1 and 3, 2019, hearing, including its		
3	reasons and support for such an objection.		
4			
5		ERNMENT EMPLOYEE- AGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD	
6		axen.	
7	By:B	RENT ECKERSLEY ESQ., Chin	
8			
9 10	Dy	NDRAWASTERS, Vice-Chair	
10		1	
11	D	an halle	
12	CA	AM WALKER, Board Member	
13		Sary A. Cottonio	
14		ARY COTTINO, Board Member	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-3-		
1.1		1	